

**PEMBERITAHUAN PERTANYAAN BAGI JAWAPAN LISAN**  
**MESYUARAT KETIGA, PENGGAL KETIGA,**  
**PARLIMEN KETIGA BELAS,**  
**MAJLIS MESYUARAT DEWAN RAKYAT**

**PERTANYAAN : LISAN**

**DARIPADA : YB TUAN GOOI HSIAO-LEUNG**  
**[ ALOR SETAR ]**

**TARIKH : 27 Oktober 2015 (Selasa)**

**SOALAN :**

**Tuan Gooi Hsiao-Leung [ Alor Setar ] minta PERDANA MENTERI menyatakan apakah hasil siasatan Bank Negara ke dalam 1MDB yang telah pun diserahkan kepada Peguam Negara seperti dilaporkan dalam media pada 21.09.2015, dan adakah Perdana Menteri dikaitkan dengan sebarang salah-laku dalam siasatan Bank Negara.**

**JAWAPAN:      YB PUAN HAJAH NANCY SHUKRI,**  
**MENTERI DI JABATAN PERDANA MENTERI**

Tuan Yang di-Pertua,

1. Sebagaimana Ahli Yang Berhormat sedia maklum, Jabatan Peguam Negara telah pun mengeluarkan kenyataan akhbar pada 8 Oktober 2015 berhubung dengan kertas siasatan oleh Bank Negara Malaysia yang tersebut.
2. Antara petikan kenyataan tersebut adalah seperti berikut (dengan izin):

*"As for the Central Bank of Malaysia (CBM), the investigation was conducted into 1MDB for an offence under paragraph (4)(b) of Part 1 of the Fifth Schedule to the Exchange Control Act 1953 namely, knowingly or recklessly making a statement which is false in a material particular. The investigation paper (IP) was submitted to the AGC on 21 August 2015. Having studied the IP, the Deputy Solicitor General 1, Datuk Tun Abd Majid bin Tun Hamzah, decided that there was no offence committed by the 1MDB officials and directed that no further action should be taken. The IP was returned to CBM on 11 September 2015. Hence the decision had been communicated to CBM on the same day.*

*However, on 1 October 2015 CBM transmitted a letter of request to AGC to have the decision reviewed. Having considered the request and the fact that there was no new evidence made*

*available, the Attorney General decided to maintain the decision made earlier. In this respect, the AGC would like to state that what had been in the news between 12 September 2015 until today concerning the IP still being with AGC and that discussions were ongoing, is inaccurate and not true.”*

Sekian, terima kasih.